

|   |                        |  |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> |  | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/619,093             |  | KING, THOMAS A.     |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        |  | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | David E. Bochna        |  | 3679                |  |

  

**All Participants:**

(1) David E. Bochna.

(2) David Howard.

**Date of Interview:** 18 July 2006

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Claims discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Prior art documents discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Discussed making minor changes to the claims in order to correct antecedent basis problems and place the claims in condition for allowance*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)